

Roy Summers' attorney asks for dismissal of case

By TINA MAY
SAN BERNARDINO — The attorney for Roy Summers, who is accused of murdering his ex-wife and kidnapping her two children here in 1977, asked the Superior Court Judge William here in 1977, asked the Superior Court on Thursday to dismiss the charge against his client.
Attorney Timothy Guhin argued that Summers, 40, was denied a "substantial constitutional right" because Municipal Court Judge John Kennedy denied Guhin's request that a witness be recalled for cross-examination during the pre-liminary hearing last November.
Following the two-month hearing, Summers was ordered to

found, but persons in Idaho, northern California and Nevada have reportedly seen the girls—aometimes with Summers—since the crimes occurred, investigators said.

In arguing his point Thursday, Guhin said the prosecution told him some information too late to properly cross-examine prose-cution witness Richard Emery.

Emery testified at the pre-liminary hearing that when he and Summers shared a jail cell in Ore-gon in late 1979 and early 1980, Summers admitted killing Wise and abducting the girls. Emery then returned to Oregon.

Based on the new information, Guhin had wanted Emery recalled from Oregon so he could be asked from tregon so ne could be asked some more questions at the pre-liminary hearing — primarily whether law officers offered Em-ery a deal on possibly reducing the punishment for his Oregon crime if he cooperated in the Summers.

case. On Thursday, Guhin contended On Thursday, Gubin contended that Emery's testimony was the only proof the prosecution offered during the preliminary that con-nected Summers with the murder. And that testimony was men-tioned by Kennedy at the end of the hearing when he said, "... if I just disregard everybody else, I

hold him (Summers) to answer just on what Emery's testimony is.

In response to that point, Judge Hyde noted that Kennedy never said that Summers would not have been held to answer without Emery's testimony.

In his remarks to the court Thursday, Chief Deputy District Attorney Joseph Canty contended that the normal remedy when a defendant has been denied adequate opportunity for cross-ex. quate opportunity for cross-ex-amination is to strike the witness's testimony.

But even were that testimony deleted, Canty argued, there is enough evidence pointing to Summers' guilt in the murder because

of testimony by several persons that he was seen with the missing

In addition, Canty contended that Guhin had the opportunity to ask Emery any questions he wanted and that the preliminary hearing transcript is "replete with questions" by Guhin of Emery about whether any deals were made with law officers.

Responding to Canty, Judge Hyde said it did not necessarily follow that if Summers was seen with the children, that meant he had murdered their mother. Hyde set Summers' trial for April 20.

Copyright © 2015 Newspapers.com. All Rights Reserved.